In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters No. 20-0872V

JEFFREY BALCH,

Petitioner.

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 30, 2023

Edward M. Kraus, Kraus Law Group LLC, Chicago, IL, for Petitioner.

Alexis B. Babcock, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On July 17, 2020, Jeffrey Balch filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as a result of an influenza vaccine he received on November 13, 2018. Petition, ECF No. 1. On December 9, 2022, I issued a decision awarding damages to Petitioner, following briefing by the parties and a Motions Day hearing. ECF No. 46.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$45,685.03 (representing \$43,723.70 for fees and \$1,961.33 for costs). Petitioner's Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed Apr. 17, 2023, ECF No. 54. In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 1.

Respondent reacted to the motion on Apr. 18, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, 3 n.2, ECF No. 55. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2022 are reasonable, and consistent with our prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted. Petitioner has also requested 2023 attorney hourly rates as follows: \$497 for work performed by Edward Kraus - representing a rate increase of \$25; and \$436 for work performed by Amy Kraus - representing a rate increase of \$22. Additionally, Petitioner requests \$165 per hour for 2023 work performed by paralegal Megan Vignocchi. Attachment to Motion at 12. I find these hourly rates to be reasonable, and will award the attorney's fees requested. (And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred.)

I note this case required additional briefing regarding the issue of damages. See Status Report; filed Jan. 19, 2022, ECF No. 34 (reporting that the parties were unable to reach an agreement as to pain and suffering); Petitioner's Damages Brief; filed Feb. 17, 2022, ECF No. 37; Hearing Minute Entry, dated Dec. 8, 2022. Petitioner's counsel expended approximately 18.70 hours drafting the brief in support of damages. Attachment to Motion at 9-10. Although on the higher end of time expended preparing a damage brief in a case involving a SIRVA injury,³ I find this amount of time to be reasonable.

²

The following fee decisions can be found on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc (last visited June 29, 2023): Kestner v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 20-0025V (June 22, 2023) (6.00 and 4.10 hours billed for drafting a damages brief and responsive damages brief, respectively); Juno v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 18-0527V (June 12, 2023) (7.4 and 4.4 hours billed for drafting a damages brief and responsive damages brief, respectively); Edminister v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 19-0184V (May 30, 2023) (15.3 and 3.5 hours billed for drafting a damages brief and responsive damages brief, respectively); Aponte v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 20-1031V (May 18, 2023) (6.9 hours billed for drafting a damages brief); Gray v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 20-1708V (May 18, 2023) (5 hours billed for drafting a damages brief); Merson v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 18-0589V (May 18, 2023) (9.8 hours billed for drafting a damages brief); Thomson v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs., No. 22-0234V (May 4, 2023) (9.5 and 2.5 hours billed for drafting a damages brief and responsive damages brief, respectively).

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs (attachment to motion at 23-44) and Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I have reviewed the requested costs and find them to be reasonable.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of \$45,685.03 (representing \$43,723.70 for fees and \$1,961.33 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Edward Kraus. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.